United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,333	10/03/2000	Mikio Watanabe	0879-0281P	4816
BIRCH STEW	7590 06/21/2007 /ART, KOLASCH & BIR	EXAMINER		
P. O. Box 747			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K	
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Applicant(s)/Patent under Application/Control No. **Application Number** Reexamination WATANABE, MIKIO 09/678,333 **Art Unit** 2622 Vivek Srivastava **Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC**

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brie	f Request for Review filed 3/19/07.	
1. Improper Request – The Requeston(s):	est is improper and a conference will not be	pe held for the following
☐ The request does not include	been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal reasons why a review is appropriate. cluded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.	Brief Request.
	continues to run from the receipt date of th unication, if no Notice of Appeal has been	
held. The application remains under a is required to submit an appeal brief in brief will be reset to be one month from running from the receipt of the notice	ppeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appear appeal because there is at least one actual in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time im mailing this decision, or the balance of to of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the FR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this	I issue for appeal. Applicant e period for filing an appeal the two-month time period he time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: 15. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14, 16 and 1. Claim(s) withdrawn from consider		
	ference has been held. The rejection is with on the merits remains closed. No further	
	erence has been held. The rejection is with in is required by applicant at this time.	ndrawn and a new Office
All participants:		
(1) <u>Vivek Srivastava</u> .	(3) <u>Yogesh Aggarwal</u> .	VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(0) T	(4)	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

(4)_____

(2) Tommy Chin.